

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**HOWARD A. HERMAN**  
DIRECTOR

**ROBIN W. SIEFKIN**  
ADR PROGRAM STAFF ATTORNEY

**G. DANIEL BOWLING**  
ADR PROGRAM STAFF ATTORNEY

Writer's Direct Contact:  
415-522-2032  
sheila\_devereaux@cand.uscourts.gov

**ADR Phone Conference Scheduling Notice**

Date: May 16, 2012

To: Counsel of Record

From: Sheila Devereaux  
ADR Program Administrative Assistant

Re: **Case C 12-0164 CW**  
**Electronic Frontier Foundation v. U.S. Department of Transportation**

Dear Counsel:

I have received the Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference filed in this case and I have scheduled the ADR Phone Conference call for **Tuesday, May 29, 2012 at 11:00 a.m. Pacific time**. The conference will be conducted by one of the ADR Program's legal staff, whose biographies appear on the following page. Please take note that at the appointed time, all parties shall call the following number and use the access code to join the call:

**Dial-in Number: 1-605-475-3200**  
**Access Code: 997220**

Please contact me with any scheduling concerns at 415-522-2032, or email sheila\_devereaux@cand.uscourts.gov. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

## ADR PHONE CONFERENCE

A phone conference has been scheduled under ADR L. R. 3-5 because you indicated on your Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference either (1) you have not reached an agreement to an ADR process or (2) you have tentatively agreed to a settlement conference before a magistrate judge.

### ***Purpose***

The purpose of the conference is to help the parties select or design an ADR process most likely to benefit the particular case. The court offers the following ADR options:

- ▶ ***Arbitration (non-binding or binding)***
- ▶ ***Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)***
- ▶ ***Mediation***

In only a limited number of cases that would most benefit from the option, the court also offers an ***Early Settlement Conference with a Magistrate Judge***. If this is the option you prefer, during the ADR phone conference you will discuss your reasons for this preference and consider whether a different ADR process may be better suited for this case. If, after the ADR phone conference, you still prefer the option of an Early Settlement Conference with a Magistrate Judge, the ADR legal staff may make a recommendation to the judge regarding the case's suitability for this option. The assigned judge will then decide whether to refer the case to an Early Settlement Conference with a Magistrate Judge.

Additionally, with the court's approval, you may substitute a private ADR procedure for a court process.

### ***Participants*** (See ADR L.R. 3-5(d)(1))

Counsel who will be primarily responsible for handling the trial of the matter shall participate in the conference. Clients and their insurance carriers are encouraged to participate as well.

### ***Logistics*** (See ADR L.R. 3-5(d)(2))

For the convenience of the parties and in lieu of ADR L.R. 3-5(d)(2), the court has arranged for a dial-in conference line for this call. The call-in service that the court uses does require a long-distance telephone call. If the cost of calling the number presents a problem, please contact the ADR Office and other arrangements will be made. In the event that the conferencing service experiences technical problems, plaintiff's counsel shall assume responsibility for the call in keeping with ADR L.R. 3-5(d)(2).

It is the responsibility of the attorney for the first-listed plaintiff to make sure all parties receive a copy of these instructions.

### ***Attorney and Client Preparation*** (See ADR L.R. 3-5(d)(3))

The phone conference will be conducted by one of the court's ADR Program legal staff. (Their biographies are below.) You will be asked your views as to which ADR process you favor and will be encouraged to ask questions about the available ADR options. To prepare for the conference, please review with your clients the ADR Local Rules and the booklet entitled *Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California* or the designated portions of the ADR Internet Site ([www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov](http://www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov)). The court has reserved one-half hour for the conference call.

### ***Exemption from the ADR Phone Conference Requirement***

**1) Stipulation to an ADR Process** (See ADR L.R. 3-5(c))

You will be exempted from participating in the phone conference if, before the date of the conference, you file a stipulation and proposed order selecting an ADR process other than an Early Settlement Conference with a Magistrate Judge and send, fax or email a copy to the ADR Unit, at the address, fax number or email address listed below. If you intend to stipulate to an Early Settlement Conference with a Magistrate Judge, you must participate in an ADR phone conference.

**2) Termination of Case**

Please call the ADR Unit to remove the case from the phone conference calendar if your case is dismissed or otherwise terminated before the date set for the phone conference.

### **BIOGRAPHIES OF ADR PROGRAM LEGAL STAFF**

**Director, ADR Program      Howard A. Herman**

Howard A. Herman has been with the Northern District since February 1997. Mr. Herman previously served as Director of ADR Programs for Contra Costa County Superior Court. He also spent four years as a settlement conference attorney for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, ultimately serving as the co-director of that court's settlement conference program. Mr. Herman has practiced as a civil litigator with the firms of Graham & James and Kindel & Anderson in San Francisco. He is a 1983 graduate of Hastings College of the Law and a 1979 graduate, with high honors, of the University of California at Berkeley. Mr. Herman currently teaches negotiation and mediation at Hastings College of the Law. He has experience as a mediator, mediation trainer, and early neutral evaluator.

**ADR Program Staff Attorney Robin W. Siefkin**

Robin W. Siefkin has been with the Northern District since January 2002. From 1997 to 2001, she was the Director of ADR Programs for Contra Costa Superior Court. She previously served as a Supervising Mediator with the Santa Barbara Community Mediation Program and as Attorney/Coordinator of the Santa Barbara Legal Aid Foundation Domestic Violence Restraining Order Clinic. Ms. Siefkin has practiced as a civil litigator and as a transactional attorney with the firms of Gordon & Rees and Horning, Janin & Harvey. She is a 1985 graduate of Hastings College of the Law and a 1978 graduate of the University of California at Davis.

**ADR Program Staff Attorney G. Daniel Bowling**

Daniel Bowling joined the ADR Program as Staff Attorney in March 2006 and has been mediating since 1986. He teaches advanced mediation in the LL.M. Program at Osgoode Hall School of Law, York University, Toronto and has taught basic and advanced negotiation at Hastings College of Law and at Howard Law School. He is a co-editor and coauthor of *Bringing Peace into the Room: The Personal Qualities of the Mediator and their Impact on Conflict Resolution* (gazebo, 2003), and coauthors of Chapter 6, "The Mediation Process" in *A Litigator's Guide to Effective Use of ADR in California* (Cal SEB, 2005). He co-founded the first mediation organization in South Carolina, the Low Country Mediation Network. As Executive Director of SPIDER, he managed the merger among SPIDERS, IS, and the Conflict Resolution Education Network, and served as the first CEO of ADR. He practiced law and was Public Defender in Charleston, SC and was on the founding faculty of Antioch School of Law. He graduated from Harvard Law School and has practiced yoga and meditation for almost thirty years.